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Finding an efficient basis
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## INTRODUCTION I:

Two Problems
in Quantum Many-body Computations

Statistical mechanics

quantum

$$
Z=\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}
$$

$\mathcal{H}$ : Hamiltonian matrix

$$
\operatorname{dim}=\mathcal{O}\left(e^{N}\right)
$$

cannot be diagonalized
c.f. Time evolution operator in quantum mechanics

$$
U(t)=e^{-i t \mathcal{H}}
$$

$\underset{\text { time }}{\text { imaginary }}$ it $\rightarrow \tau \int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau$
quantum Monte Carlo diagrammatic expansion

## Why analytical continuation is necessary?

## Quantity we want to know

$$
\rho(\omega)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} G^{\mathrm{R}}(\omega)
$$

## Ex.: ARPES spectra Spin excitations



Retarded Green function

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G^{\mathrm{R}}(t)=-i \theta(t)\left\langle[A(t), B]_{ \pm}\right\rangle \\
A(t)=e^{i H t} A e^{-i H t}
\end{array}
$$

difficult to handle

"imaginary-
time"

$$
i t \rightarrow \tau
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G(\tau)=-\left\langle T_{\tau} A(\tau) B\right\rangle \\
A(\tau)=e^{\tau H} A e^{-\tau H}
\end{array}
$$

better for calculations (diagrammatic expansion quantum Monte Carlo)

## Analytical continuation is noise sensitive

## The standard method: Pade approximation

Vidberg, Serene, 1977

CT-QMC data
$\rho(\omega)$


Lehmann rep

$$
\boldsymbol{G}=K \boldsymbol{\rho} \quad \stackrel{\text { discretize }}{\longleftarrow} \quad G(\tau)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega K_{ \pm}(\tau, \omega) \rho(\omega)
$$

Evaluate $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ for a given $\boldsymbol{G}$

$$
K_{ \pm}(\tau, \omega)=\frac{e^{-\tau \omega}}{1 \pm e^{-\beta \omega}} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { fermion } \\
& \text { boson }
\end{aligned}
$$

difficulty: $K$ is an ill-conditioned matrix

Least square sulution
$\boldsymbol{\rho}=\left(K^{\frac{t}{b}} K\right)-1 K^{\mathrm{t}} \boldsymbol{G}$
unstable ( NaN )
taking orrors into account
$\chi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\|\boldsymbol{G}-K \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{2}^{2}<\eta$
infinite number of solutions
(almost all are unphysical)

## Related investigations

Maximum entropy method
M. Jarrell, J. E. Gubernatis, Phys. Rep. 269, 133 (1996)

$$
F(\boldsymbol{\rho})=\frac{1}{2}\|\boldsymbol{G}-K \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{2}^{2}+\alpha \sum_{i}\left[\rho_{i}-m_{i}-\rho_{i} \log \left(\rho_{i} / m_{i}\right)\right]
$$

$m$ : "default model" = priorknowledge
penalty against deviation from $m$

## Stochastic method

A. W. Sandvik, PRB 57, 10287 (1998)
A. S. Mishchenko et al. PRB 62, 6317 (2000)
S. Fuchs, T. Pruschke, and M. Jarrell, PRE 81, 056701 (2010)
K. S. D. Beach, arXiv:cond-mat/0403055
A. W. Sandvik, PRE 94, 063308 (2016)

Growing attempts
K. S. D. Beach, R. J. Gooding, and F. Marsiglio, PRB 61, 5147 (2000)
A. Dirks et al., Phys. Rev. E 87, 023305 (2013).
F. Bao et al., PRB 94, 125149 (2016)
O. Goulko et al., PRB 95, 014102 (2017).
G. Bertaina, D. Galli, and E. Vitali, arXiv:1611.08502.
L.-F. Arsenault et al., arXiv:1612.04895.


## Dynamical susceptibility

$\chi(\boldsymbol{q}, \omega)$

Ex.:
spin excitation

inelastic neutron scat.
$\mathrm{URu}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$
Wiebe et al. 2007

To compute $\chi(q, i \omega)$

## Tensor product



## Effective interactions

$$
\Gamma_{1234}\left(\boldsymbol{k}, i \omega, \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}, i \omega^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{q}, i \nu\right)
$$



Parquet equation Bickers, White 1991
Functional RG (fRG) Metzner et al. 2012
(a)


Beyond dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) Georges et al. 1996

- DГA Kusunose 2006, Toschi et al 2006
- dual fermion approach Rubtsov et al. 2008, Hafermann et al. 2009
- fRG extensions Tranto et al. 2014

$a^{\prime}$

b

f



## How to handle efficiently

$\Gamma\left(i \omega_{n}, i \omega_{n^{\prime}} ; i \nu_{m}\right)$

A sparse-modeling solution

- Solution to
- Problem I (analytical continuation)
extract relevant information, basis selection denoising
- Problem II (two-particle objects)

Compact representation of correlation functions

SOLUTION to problem 1

## Sparse-Modeling (SpM) Analytical Continuation

## $G(\tau) \rightarrow \rho(\omega)$

JO, Ohzeki, Shinaoka, Yoshimi, PRE 95, 061302(R) (2017)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Analytical } \\
& \text { continuation }
\end{aligned} \quad G=K \rho
$$

## Sparseness

## II

"There is only a little information that is not disturbed by noise"

Sparseness is basis-dependent

## Q. Which basis makes $\rho$ sparse ?

$$
\rho^{\prime}=V^{\mathrm{t}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \quad \boldsymbol{G}^{\prime}=U^{\mathrm{t}} \boldsymbol{G}
$$

SVD
$K=U S V^{\mathrm{t}}$
$\because K$ is an ill-conditioned matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) & =\frac{1}{2}\|\boldsymbol{G}-K \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{G}^{\prime}-S \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Components of $\rho^{\prime}$ that has small $s_{l}$ is indefinite


## Procedure 2: LI regularization

## Sparseness

$$
F\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{G}^{\prime}-S \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\left\|\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime}\right\|_{1}
$$

L1 norm

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime}\right\|_{1} \equiv \sum_{i}\left|\rho_{i}^{\prime}\right|
$$

LASSO-type optimization (Least Absolute Shrinkage of Selection Operators)
R. Tibshirani, J. R. Stat. Soc. B 58, 267 (1996)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { non-negative } \quad \text { sum-rule } \\
\rho_{i} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i} \rho_{i}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

ADMM algorithm (alternating direction method of multipliers)
Boyd et al., Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 3, 1 (2011)

Test data

$$
G(\tau)
$$


$\rho$


In ordinary situation, this is directly computed e.g. by QMC

## Results

regularization
parameter
too strong
optimal

## too weak


output
input data $\quad \boldsymbol{G}^{\prime}=U^{\mathrm{t}} \boldsymbol{G}$

## regularization

parameter
too strong
optimal

## too weak


input (w/ noise)
exact (w/o noise) result

For a given $\lambda$



SOLUTION to problem II
Intermediate Representation (IR)

$$
\Gamma\left(i \omega_{n}, i \omega_{n^{\prime}} ; i \nu_{m}\right)
$$

Shinaoka, JO, Ohzeki, Yoshimi, arXiv:1702.03054

## Effective interactions

Dynamical mean-field approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma(\boldsymbol{k}, i \omega) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathrm{loc}}(i \omega) \\
& \Gamma\left(\boldsymbol{k}, i \omega, \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}, i \omega^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{q}, i \nu\right) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(i \omega, i \omega^{\prime} ; i \nu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$


c.f. Hubbard interactions

$$
\Gamma\left(i \omega, i \omega^{\prime} ; i \nu\right)=U
$$

Effective interactions in SCES are strongly frequency dependent

## $\Gamma\left(i \omega, i \omega^{\prime} ; i \nu\right)$

## Can we parameterize?

c.f. Landau parameter in Fermi liquid theory

$$
\Gamma\left(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{q}=0\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} F_{l} P_{l}\left(\cos \theta_{\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Which basis best describes frequency dependences?

## Look at the input data again

Original imaginary time data

$$
G(\tau)
$$

After transformation


$$
\boldsymbol{G}^{\prime}=U^{+} \boldsymbol{G}
$$


$\boldsymbol{G}^{\prime}=U^{\mathrm{t}} \boldsymbol{G}$
can be used for data compression

## A new orthogonal basis set

Lehmann rep

$$
\begin{gathered}
G(\tau)=\int_{-\omega_{\max }}^{\omega_{\max }} d \omega K_{ \pm}(\tau, \omega) \rho(\omega) \\
K_{ \pm}(\tau, \omega)=\frac{e^{-\tau \omega}}{1 \pm e^{-\beta \omega}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
K(\tau, \omega)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} s_{l} u_{l}(\tau) v_{l}(\omega)
$$

$$
\Lambda \equiv \beta \omega_{\max }
$$

## dimensionnless




Legendre polynomial in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$
(high-T)

$$
G(\tau)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} G_{l} u_{l}(\tau)
$$


c.f. power decay in Fourier rep

Legendre expansion Boehnke et al. 2011

Check if the original function can be reproduced

$$
G(\tau) \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{l=0}^{l_{\text {cutoff }}} G_{l} u_{l}(x)
$$



## Two-particle Green function

$$
\chi\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime} ; 0\right)=\sum_{l, l^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} \chi_{l l^{\prime}} u_{l}(\tau) u_{l^{\prime}}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)
$$

Former study Boehnke et al. 2011

## SVD basis

Legendre basis $\left|\tilde{\chi}_{l l^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}\right) / \tilde{\chi}_{00}\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}\right)\right|$


## Parameterizing effective interactions

## $\Gamma_{1234}\left(\boldsymbol{k}, i \omega_{n}, \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}, i \omega_{n^{\prime}}\right)$

$$
\boldsymbol{q}=0, \nu_{m}=0
$$

Spin, Charge, Orbital (irreducible rep)


Dynamical version of Landau parameters?

## Everything in IR basis!

- QMC measurement
- Bethe-Salpeter equation
- Parquet equation
$\rho(\omega)$
$\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Re}}$


## Summary

- Analytical continuation
I. SVD of the kernel
II. Basis selection by L1 regularization

https://github.com/j-otsuki/SpM
- Efficient basis set
- Extremely compact representation
- QMC measurement, diagrammatic calculations, etc

extract essence by sparse modeling

